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## Introduction

In our world, we see symmetries everywhere; butterfly wings, shell patterns, and even in Taylor Swift's face. We call a polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ symmetric in $n$ variables if $\pi(f)=f$ for all permutations $\pi \in S_{n}$. So, no matter how you swap the variables around, the polynomial remains unchanged. The set of all symmetric polynomials in $n$ variables, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$, is a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. When restricted to only the polynomials in which every term has a total degree of $k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ is finite dimensional.

## Bases for $\Lambda_{k}\left(X_{n}\right)$

The monomial basis when $n \geq k,\left\{m_{\lambda}\left(X_{n}\right) \mid \lambda \vdash k\right\}$, are the polynomials formed by adding all the unique images of a monomial $\prod_{j=1} x_{j}^{\lambda_{j}}$ under the elements of $S_{n}$. There are other known basis elements;

| Elementary | $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{a}}\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots<j_{a} \leq n} x_{j_{1} \ldots x_{j_{a}}}$ | $e_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homogenous | $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{a}}\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq j_{1} \leq \ldots \leq j_{a} \leq n} x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{a}}$ | $h_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}$ |
| Power Sum | $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{a}}\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a}$ | $p_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}$ |

with $a \in \mathbb{N}$. For a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$, we define $e_{\lambda}=e_{\lambda_{1}} e_{\lambda_{2}} \ldots e_{\lambda_{m}}$ and similarly for $h_{\lambda}$ and $p_{\lambda}$.

## Partitions and Tableaux

A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a weakly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=k$. We write $\lambda \vdash k$. The Young diagram of $\lambda$ has $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row. For example, the corresponding tableau to the shape $\lambda=(4,2)$ is


We can fill these diagrams with a content $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right)$ by placing each $\mu_{i}$ in a box. If the rows of the filled diagram are weakly increasing, and the columns strictly increasing, it is a semi-standard Young Tableau (SSYT). The reading word of a tableau is the word obtained by concatenating the rows left to right, starting from the shortest row.

## Schur Polynomials

There's another basis for $\Lambda_{k}$ - the Schur polynomials $s_{\lambda}\left(X_{n}\right)$. These can be defined by the contents of all SSYT of shape $\lambda \vdash k$ filled with integer elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$;

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{T \in S S Y T_{n}(\lambda)} x^{T}
$$

For example, with $\lambda=(3,1)$ and $n=2$ :

$s_{(3,1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{3}$

## Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) Algorithm

The RSK correspondence is a bijection between all non-negative integer matrices $A$ with a fixed amount $n$ of non-zero entries and pairs $(P, Q)$ of SSYT that have the same shape $\lambda \vdash n$, using a generalised permutation. This is a $2 \times n$ matrix, $\pi$, in which the top row is weakly increasing, and the bottom row is weakly increasing in sections with the same top entry. It is constructed by adding each pair $(i, j)$ as a column to the matrix $\pi$ exactly $(A)_{i j}$ times, following the rules above.
Insert the leftmost entries of both the of the bottom row and top row of $\pi$ into separate tableaux, $P$ and $Q$ respectively. Moving left to right through the bottom row of $\pi$, take the new entry, $e$, and check the first row of $P$. If this row has no larger entries than $e$, append $e$ to the end. If it does, say $j>e$ with $j$ the leftmost entry satisfying this, replace $j$ with $e$ and move down to the next row, repeating the process with $j$ and any other subsequent replaced entries until a new box is attached. Note where this new box is appended and add the corresponding top entry value above $e$ to that same box in $Q$.

## Example: RSK

We start by constructing the generalised permutation (GP) $\pi$ from the matrix $A$ :

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \pi=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We can then apply the RSK algorithm, described above, with the steps being:

So finally we end up with

$$
P=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline & 3 & & \\
\hline 3 & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

The GP $\pi$ can be remade by removing entries in Q of highest value and rightmost placement, then sliding out entries in P by reversing the row insertion process, showing this is a bijection.

## Hall inner product

Using the RSK correspondence, we can prove Cauchy's identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(X) s_{\lambda}(Y) & =\prod_{i, j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} y_{j}} \\
\sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda}(X) m_{\lambda}(Y) & =\prod_{i, j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} y_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hall inner product is a unique inner product on $\Lambda_{k}$ such that $\left\langle h_{\lambda}, m_{\mu}\right\rangle=\delta_{\lambda \mu}$. It can then be shown that the conditions
(i) $\left\langle u_{\lambda}, v_{\mu}\right\rangle=\delta_{\lambda \mu}$
(ii) $\sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(X) v_{\lambda}(Y)=\prod_{i, j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} y_{j}}$
are equivalent for bases $\left\{u_{\lambda}\right\},\left\{v_{\lambda}\right\}$ of $\Lambda_{k}$. From Cauchy's formulas, we hence see that $\left\{h_{\lambda}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{\lambda}\right\}$ are dual bases, and $\left\{s_{\lambda}\right\}$ is self-dual.

## Littlewood-Richardson (LR) Rule

The rule for multiplying Schur functions is

$$
s_{\lambda} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu} s_{\nu} .
$$

There are multiple ways to calculate $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu}$, the LR coefficient for partitions with $|\nu|=|\lambda|+|\mu|$.

## Calculating LR coefficients

One way to understand $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu}$ is by counting the number of SSYT of shape $\nu$ with the boxes $\lambda$ removed, filled with a weight $\mu$ such that any tail section of each reading word contains at least as many $k$ 's as it does $k+1$ 's.
A different way to find $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu}$ comes from the crystal graphs of SSYT, and their tensor products. We count the pairs ( $T_{1}, T_{2}$ ) of SSYT of shapes $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that applying RSK to the reading word of $T_{1}$ concatenated with $T_{2}$ returns $P$ of shape $\nu . P$ must satisfy the rule that all entries in rows $j$ are $j$. Consider the product $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ for $\lambda=(4,2,1)$. To compute $c_{\lambda, \lambda}^{\nu}$ of a specific $\nu=(6,5,3)$, we can find all these pairs of reading words that map via RSK to

$$
P=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 3 & 3 & 3 & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

We compute that there are 2 such reading word pairs; 32311223221111 and 32211233221111.
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